

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/915,978 07/25/2001 Gabriel Beged-Dov 10014078-1 8178 7590 04/27/2004 **EXAMINER HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY** MILLER, BENA B **Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 3712 DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
· .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/915,978	BEGED-DOV, GABRIEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bena Miller	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum ste - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relunication. D) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>07/07/03 and 02/17/04</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-15 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-15 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	=	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
·	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P'3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/915,978 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner inadvertently responded to the applicant's response filed 02/19/03; therefore, the previous Office Action dated 10/22/03 has been withdrawn. Further, claims 6-12 will not be examined on its merits according to the claims cancellation in the amendment filed 07/07/03.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plurality of flexible fingers disposed in a first circle on the second surface must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the plurality of flexible fingers disposed in a first circle on the second surface as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3712

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter, as now amended "a paper or plastic film", is not supported by the original specification and therefore, constitutes New Matter. It appears that the specification of the claimed invention discloses on page 7 "a paper or plastic tape 803 is disposed over the CD 101". Further, the specification fails to describe how the paper or plastic film is disposed over the recordable medium.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how the paper or plastic film is disposed over the recordable medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3712

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adoma in view of McClung et al (WO 01/95984A1).

Adoma teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention. However, Adoma may not teach a plurality of fingers having a cantilever portion, a crooked portion, and a ridge portion attached to a first or second surface of a body whereby recordable disk medium is releasably coupled to the body. Adoma teaches on page 5 that clamping device 8 is constructed in a known manner so that the compact disk can be locked in the opening 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a plurality of fingers in the throwable implement of Adoma for the purpose of the retaining the compact disc.

Adoma fails to teach a plurality of flexible fingers on the second surface of the disk-shaped body. McClung teaches a flying a disc with a compact disc secured to the bottom of the disc body (fig. 2, 9A, 9B and 21). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the plurality of flexible fingers of Adoma on the second surface of the disk-shaped body as taught by McClung for the purpose of retaining the compact disc.

Claims 1-5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adoma in view of Wyatt and McClung et al (WO 01/95984A1)

Art Unit: 3712

Adoma teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention. However, Adoma may not teach a plurality of flexible fingers having a cantilever portion, a crooked portion, and a ridge portion attached to a first or second surface of a body whereby recordable disk medium is releasably coupled to the body. Wyatt teaches base 14, for holding a compact disk, having a plurality of flexible fingers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a plurality of flexible fingers as taught by Wyatt for the clamping retention means of Adoma for the purpose releasably retain the disk more securely.

Adoma fails to teach a plurality of flexible fingers on the second surface of the disk-shaped body. McClung teaches a flying a disc with a compact disc secured to the bottom of the disc body (fig. 2, 9A, 9B and 21). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the plurality of flexible fingers of Adoma on the second surface of the disk-shaped body as taught by McClung for the purpose of retaining the compact disc.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Adoma.

Adoma teaches in the figures throwable implement comprising a disk-shaped body (fig. 1), a depression (3) and a plurality of flexible fingers (8). On the hand, given a different interpretation of the claim, Adoma may fail to teach a plurality of flexible fingers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a plurality of flexible fingers on the implement of Adoma for the purpose of retaining the compact disk.

Art Unit: 3712

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adoma.

Adoma teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for paper film disposed over the recordable medium. Adoma teaches a cover 5 that is disposed over a compact disc (It should be noted in fig. 2-4, cover 5 is disposed over compact disc 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a paper film disposed over the recodable medium of Adoma for the purpose of helping secure the compact disk.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3712

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bbm April 19, 2004